

**OUTSIDE EVALUATION FORM:
PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR PERMIT FOR
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEYS OF UTAH LANDS**

Reviewers Name: _____

Title(s) of document(s) being reviewed: _____

Instructions for reviewer: First, THANK YOU for volunteering to assist with this applicant review. Enclosed please find a document(s) submitted by an applicant as evidence in lieu of a graduate degree. We are asking you to assist us in reviewing these documents to see if they meet the criteria outlined in Rule 694-1-4(b). Rule 694-1-4(b) states that this document(s) should demonstrate "... the ability to design and execute a research project in anthropology, archaeology, or history, including the collection and analysis of information, presentation of results in an approved and reviewed format, and the subsequent curation of specimens" PLPCO defines "Research project" as a project investigating a data gap in archaeology, anthropology or history that demonstrates the ability to formulate a hypothesis, apply appropriate scientific methods in the collection and analysis of information appropriate to testing that hypothesis, presentation of results in an approved and reviewed format, and subsequent curation of specimens. Please answer the following questions, shred the applicant's documents and return this form to Kelly Beck at

kellybeck@utah.gov or

Public Lands Policy Coordination Office

5110 State Office Building

P.O. Box 141107

Salt Lake City, UT 84114-1107.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact Kelly at the email listed above or at 801-537-9046.

1.) Project design: Based on your review of the document(s) provided, did the applicant propose a research question or hypothesis that was scientifically relevant to archaeology, anthropology, or history? Do the document(s) provided indicate the applicant's understanding of archaeology, anthropology, or history? Does the applicant's research significantly contribute to the scientific communities understanding of the past? ☐ **Yes**
OR ☐ **No. Please select one, explain your answer, and cite documentation.**

2) Project execution: Do the document(s) provided indicate the applicant's use of appropriate scientific methods? Was the information presented in a clear and understandable format? ☐ Yes **OR** ☐ No. **Please select one, explain your answer, and cite documentation.**

3) Data collection: Did the applicant collect appropriate data to address the research question? Was the data collected using appropriate and current methods? Was the collection strategy statistically sound? Did the applicant clearly describe her/his methods? ☐ Yes **OR** ☐ No. **Please select one, explain your answer, and cite documentation.**

4) Data analysis and curation: Did the applicant use appropriate analysis techniques (i.e. chemical or physical analysis, statistical methods, etc.) to address the research question? If appropriate, was the data prepared for curation and curated in an appropriate facility? ☐ Yes **OR** ☐ No. **Please select one, explain your answer, and cite documentation.**

5) Presentation of results: Did the applicant clearly present the results of the investigation and discuss the results in terms of the research question and future research? Were the results presented in a format that advances knowledge within the archaeological, anthropological or historical communities? ☐ **Yes OR** ☐ **No. Please select one, explain your answer, and cite documentation.**

Signature of Reviewer

Date